POTRERO COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP March 13, 2008 "Approved Minutes"

Called to order: Meeting called to order by Chairman Meyer at 7:17 P.M. Chairman Meyer asked Elenore Stephens to lead the Pledge of Allegiance. Mary Hall will have the American flag in meeting room next meeting.

Roll call: William Crawley—present, Tina Brown—present, Jan Hedlun—present, Terry Stephens—present, Janet Goode—present, Carl Meyer—present. Quorum present.

Approval of Minutes of Jan. 23, 2008, Jan. 31, 2008, Feb. 21, 2008;

Motion by Jan Hedlun to approve the minutes of 1/23/08 & 1/31/08 and amended minutes of 2/21/08.

Second by William Crawley.

Motion passed/carried 6—0—0

<u>Correspondence/Announcements:</u> Correspondences from 2/14/08-3/12/08 made available for the public on the Board's table.

Announcements: Chairman Meyer announced the Board of Supervisors had approved and appointed three new members to the PCPG, Kit Giguere, Mary Hall, and Janet Warren. Chairman Meyer read aloud the swearing in and the new members recited their oath. All three newly sworn in members of the PCPG took their places at the Board's table.

Rules of Order: Not read at this time.

Opportunity for the public to address the Board:

Ray Lutz addressed the Board that he thought the speaker slips in which to address the Board were not legal.

Brenda Wise commented of the new web site for concerned Potrero residents, www.cop4p.net.

Christine Dillon expressed the possibility of forming a community group to address issues not in the purview of the PCPG.

Jack Reider wanted to know what land issues were in the purview of the PCPG. He wanted to know if trailers or buses on properties were within the purview of the PCPG and if so was the PCPG ever going to address this issue.

Emil Susu stated the PCPG was in violation of the Brown Act because he didn't hear members respond during roll call.

Thell Fowler wanted to know why there weren't copies of the agenda on the table?

Approval of Expenses/Expenditures: n/a



3/13/08

Old Business:

Resignation and election of a new PCPG secretary: Terry Stephens said until someone on the Board wants to take over the secretary's duties she would continue as the Board's secretary.

Subcommittees Reports:

Sunrise Powerlink meeting in which the PUC had at Mt. Empire High School was attended by approximately 100 people in which the consensus was against the Powerlink. Next meeting to be held 4/11/08.

Campo Landfill EIS is delayed. Possibly in the Spring or Summer is the expected time for the EIS, there is trouble in passing the environmental concerns. Next meeting to be held downtown at SANDAG 9:00A.M.—12 Noon.

New Business:

General Plan Update: Presentation by DPLU staff to discuss the Rural Village Boundaries, draft maps, draft Land Use Element. Mr. Devon Muto, Interim Chief, made the presentation of overall role of planning and a time frame for input. He presented three styles of maps: Referral map, Hybrid map and Draft map.

Questions from the floor:

Cathy Ramsey wants to know what is the time frame for the DPLU to take comments from the public? Mr. Muto stated the whole document is to be out by 2010 and the County is taking comments currently. He stated the Steering Committee is reviewing the maps and documents on a monthly basis. Ed Boryla asked Chairman Meyer, "where's your report from the Steering Committee?" Chairman Meyer responded, "I brought the maps and did the presentation last month at the PCPG meeting".

Chairman Meyer asked Mr. Muto, how much commercial acreage is there currently in Potrero and how much extra is planned? Mr. Muto said he did not know how much additional acreage is planned for commercial. Next to be studied are the population projections and the report will be made available.

William Crawley wanted to know what the checkered plots are on the map and what are the commercial alternatives proposed? Mr. Muto said the department needed to study the environmental sources and the traffic impacts.

Barbara Chamberlain said the analysis will be another two years.

Tina Brown wanted to know Mr. Muto's next visit to Potrero? Mr. Muto said he was not sure.

Christine Dillon wanted to know if a property owner didn't want to be in the commercial designation, what choices do they have? Mr. Muto said there were not many choices out there.

PAGE 03

3/13/08

Andy Lindsey wanted to know how many DPLU planners have been east of El Cajon? Mr. Muto said he did not know of other staffers, but that this was his first visit to Potrero.

Brenda Wise stated if there were a commercial designation of Potrero Valley Road that it would not be prudent, due to one way onto Potrero Valley Road and one way out. Mr. Muto said there are drainage issues along Highway 94 along with traffic onto Highway 94 from driveways. He was concerned with the ingress/egress of Potrero Valley Road also.

Marilyn Boryla stated her concerns of no planning of fire routes/escapes of the

County roads in the community.

The Rural Boundary of PCPG was discussed by Mr. Muto to follow the topography of the ridge line to divide the Tecate and Potrero planning groups. The County needs to know the planning groups decisions by the end of April. The original time for a decision on this issue was March 17, 2008, but Mr. Muto said PCPG can send in their decision by next planning group meeting.

Circulation Subcommittee:

A Circulation Subcommittee is to be comprised of Board members and community members. Chairman Meyer stated that Terry Stephens is to head the subcommittee and asked for volunteers. From the Board, Tina Brown and Kit Giguere and from the community. Jack Reider, Brenda Wise Christina Dillon, Robin Simmons, Barbara Chamberlain volunteered. Brenda Wise and Anita Meneses asked in behalf of Thell Fowler to be on the committee, since he left the meeting in haste.

Reconsideration of Blackwater Project:

Anita Meneses stated that Brian Baca via email said the vote by PCPG regarding Blackwater is not to be taken, that the vote would be meaningless. Brenda Wise said the Board is in violation of County I1.

Chairman Meyer stated this vote for the Reconsideration of the Blackwater Project is a symbolic vote. He stated the community voted for the recall of Potrero Community Planning Group members who were pro Blackwater Project and that the community wanted the newly elected members to make their voice known to the County.

Terry Stephens introduced and read the symbolic motion to Reconsider and amend Form 534 dated Dec. 14, 2006 and Aug. 9, 2007 as it pertains to the Blackwater project MUP # P06-069, Rezone # R06-016 and the disestablishment of the Agricultural Preserve # AP-06-004. See attachment.

Question asked, considering the Pertinent New information on the Blackwater project does the Potrero Community Planning Group vote to reconsider; all in favor all oppose: None oppose. say Aye: Nine Ayes,



03/17/2008 20:28

3/13/08

Terry Stephens for symbolic purposes made the motion of: The Potrero Community Planning Group resolves that the Blackwater West project, MUP # P06-069, Rezone # R06-016, Agricultural Preserve #AP 06-004 be DENIED. Jan Hedlun for symbolic purposes seconded the motion. Chairman Meyer asked the secretary to poil the Board for symbolic purposes, regarding the motion on the table to DENY the Blackwater West project, MUP # P06-069, Rezone #R06-016, Agricultural Preserve #AP 06-004.

	YES	NO	ABSTAIN	ABSENT
William Crawley	X			
Tina McCunney	x			
Kit Giguere	X			
Jan Hedlun	x			
Terry Stephens	x			
Janet Goode	x			
Mary Hall	x			
Carl Meyer	ж			
Janet Warren	X			

Chairman Meyer read letter dated August 22, 2007, from John J. Sansone of County Counsel regarding past vote of the PCPG on December 14, 2006 in which an illegal member of the PCPG made the second of the motion of 12/14/06. "Based on this information, we conclude that these persons are not appointed members. Any votes by these persons while improperly seated will not be considered valid in any action taken by the Potrero Community Planning Group."

Special Note: To this date, the Potrero Community Planning Group has NOT received any official notice/letter from DPLU or project managers on official County letterhead in regards to either the continuation or termination of the Blackwater project MUP #P06-069, Rezone #R06-016, Agricultural Preserve #AP-06-004.

Announcement of next meeting: Tentative date, April 10, 2008

Contents: Boundary of PCPG/Tecate planning groups.

Adjournment: Unanimous at 9:20 P.M.

Minutes respectfully submitted by, Terry Stephens/secretary



PAGE 05

03/17/2008 20:28

6194785736

Potrero Community Planning Group P.O. Box 9, Potrero, CA 91963

March 13, 2008

I would like to introduce a motion to Reconsider and amend Form 534, dated Dec. 14, 2006 and Aug. 9, 2007 as it pertains to the Blackwater project MUP #P06-069, Rezone #R06-016 and the disestablishment of the Agricultural Preserve #AP-06-004. So in light of the recent Harris Fire which destroyed thousands of acres and came to the edge of the project site which amplified the site importance as a wetland meadow and wildlife foraging area and thereby multiplied the potential environmental damage that would be done by developing this site as a Private Military Installation, and in addition there are modifications to the project plans such as a fuel truck being added, the noise berms/sound walls extended from 24' through 40' height to mitigate the noise impacts. Also, the total area of impact either direct or indirect of the project is now estimated to be 402.5 acres, the incompleteness of the 534's, and last but not least is the Precedent setting Potrero Recall election.

Considering this Pertinent New information on the Blackwater project does the Potrero Community Planning Group vote to reconsider; all in favor say Aye, all oppose.



Potrero Community Planning Group

Resolution concerning the proposed Blackwater West training facility, Major use Permit PO6-069, Rezone R06-016, and the disestablishment of the Agricultural Preserve AP-06-004.

Whereas.

- 1. The proposed Blackwater facility is inconsistent with the San Diego County General
- 2. the proposed Blackwater facility is inconsistent with Potrero's Subregional Plan which limits commercial businesses to the commercially zoned property in the County Town and
- 3. the proposed Blackwater facility is inconsistent with Potrero's Community Character statement.
- 4. the proposed Blackwater facility has unmittigable impacts to Potrero's noise ordinance, generation of traffic, scale is not compatible with the building environment, and
- 5. the density of the project is 6 times greater that is allowed by right, and
- 6. the rezone is not in the interest of the Public good, and
- 7. the disestablishment of an agricultural preserve is not in the interest of the Public good, and would threaten already diminishing farmland and decrease open space and corridors, and
- 8. the proposed Blackwater facility would threaten the abundant flora and fauna, fragment the wildlife and their habitat, and
- 9. the proposed Blackwater facility would cause the destruction of a mountain meadow, and
- 10. the recent Precedent setting recall election of the previous pro-Blackwater Planning Group members by a 2 to 1 margin sent a clear message that the majority of Potrero residents do not want the proposed Blackwater facility to be located in Potrero.

Now therefore.

The Potrero Community Planning Group resolves that the Blackwater West project, MUP # P06-6 Rezone # R06-016, Agricultural Preserve # 06-004 be DENIED.

On motion made by TERESA STEPHENSSECONDED by JAN HEDLUN and carried This 13th day of March 2008 by the following roll call vote:

	YES	NO	ABSTAIN	ABSENI
William Crawley	_			
Tina McCumey				
Kit Giguere				
JanHedlun				
Terry Stephens				
Janet Goode				
Mary Hall	V			
Cari Meyer	V			
Janet Warren	V			